

**School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2019/20 s.y.  
School-based Grant - Programme Report**

Name of School: Leung Shek Chee College

Staff-in-charge: Chan Oi Sze Juliana Contact Telephone No.: 2349 6626

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 119 (including A. 24 CSSA recipients, B. 89 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 6 under school's discretionary quota).

**B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.**

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	B	C						
Instrumental Training Classes	8	24	0	>75%	Sep 2019 to Aug 2020	\$19,901.7	Attendance Rate		
Interest Classes	8	25	0	>75%		\$3,504	Attendance Rate		
Sports Training	10	34	4	>75%		\$14,986.6	Attendance Rate		
Visits	4	20	0	100%		\$1,136	Attendance Rate		
Basketball and Volleyball Training Camp in Korea	2	8	3	100%	Jan 2020	\$18,126.4	Presentation		
<b>Total no. of activities:</b>									
@No. of man-times	32	111	7		<b>Total Expenses</b>	57,654.7			
**Total no. of man-times	150								

Note:

\* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

\*\* Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

# Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

## C. Project Effectiveness

*In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?*

Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
<b>Learning Effectiveness</b>						
a) Students’ motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students’ study skills			✓			
c) Students’ academic achievement			✓			
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness		✓				
<b>Personal and Social Development</b>						
f) Students’ self-esteem		✓				
g) Students’ self-management skills	✓					
h) Students’ social skills	✓					
i) Students’ interpersonal skills	✓					
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students’ outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development		✓				
<b>Community Involvement</b>						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities		✓				
o) Students’ sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students’ understanding on the community		✓				
q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement		✓				

## D. Comments on the project conducted

*Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box)*

- unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
- difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
- eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify: \_\_\_\_\_);
- the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
- Others (Please specify): \_\_\_\_\_